

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

BERNARD EDWARD KRETLOW,

Plaintiff,

v.

SGT. DAHLSTROM and OFFICER ALLEN,

Defendants.

---

ORDER

15-cv-571-jdp

Pro se plaintiff Bernard Edward Kretlow is proceeding on claims that defendants Dahlstrom and Allen failed to protect him from a risk of violence under the Eighth Amendment and retaliated against him in violation of the First Amendment. On April 14, 2017, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 23. On June 5, 2017, Kretlow filed a document he calls a “Motion for Summary Judgment.” Dkt. 36. In a June 13, 2017 order, I explained that Kretlow could not move for summary judgment because he missed the deadline for doing so and that if Kretlow intended the document to serve as a response to defendants’ motion for summary judgment, it did not comply with the court’s pretrial conference order. Dkt. 39. I gave him a deadline of June 30, 2017, to file a response to defendants’ summary judgment motion and directed him to review the court’s procedures to be followed on motions for summary judgment.

On June 19, Kretlow filed a document titled “motion for summary judgment.” Dkt. 40. This document does not comply with the court’s procedures to be followed on motions for summary judgment. I will allow Kretlow one final opportunity to respond to defendants’ summary judgment motion. In his response, Kretlow should respond to each of defendants’ proposed facts. Dkt. 25. I will include with this order an additional copy of defendants’

proposed facts. Kretlow should number his responses using the same number listed by defendants. If Kretlow disputes a fact, he should say so, state his version of the fact, and cite to evidence that supports that version. Kretlow may propose additional facts in a separate document.

#### ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff Bernard Edward Kretlow may have until July 10, 2017, to file his complete opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment.
2. The clerk of court is directed to send plaintiff a copy of the defendants' proposed findings of facts in support of their motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 25.

Entered June 30, 2017.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

JAMES D. PETERSON  
District Judge